

## EVALUATION OF THE NELLIP WORKSHOP

---

**City and Country:** SOFIA, BULGARIA    **Date:** 14 FEBRUARY 2014    **Workshop Organizer:** KUTU Ltd.

**Number of responses / participants:** 9 / 10

**Are you a ...?**  Teacher in primary education     Teacher in secondary education    **5** Trainer in VET

**5** Adult educator     University teacher     Other, please specify \_\_\_\_\_

**Area of expertise, subjects(s) taught:** Trainers, Methodists, language teachers, non-formal language learning, EU projects

**Can you please briefly describe the reasons why you decided to get involved in the NELLIP Workshop?**

*Please specify your initial expectations (in terms for example of expected impact and results) and motivations (in terms, for example, of acquisition of new skills, experience, contacts etc)*

- To receive information on good practices for language learning in Bulgaria and Europe, awarded with the ELL
- Information about the ELL and possibilities for applying
- Systematic information about quality in projects and ELL
- Gaining new skills
- Information about ELL and quality criteria within a project
- How to improve language teaching
- Information about ELL and quality of projects
- Opportunities for developing language skills for vocational purposes

---

The organization of the workshop was...	<b>8,6</b>	Poor	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩	Excellent
The content of the workshop was...	<b>9,8</b>	Not Interesting	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩	Interesting
Were the stated objectives of the workshop achieved?	<b>9,2</b>	Not at all	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩	Completely
Was the workshop consistent with your expectations?	<b>9,1</b>	Inconsistent	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩	Consistent
The balance between theory and practice was...	<b>8,8</b>	Not Suitable	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩	Suitable
The methodologies used were...	<b>9,3</b>	Not appropriate	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩	Appropriate
The practical activities organized were...	<b>8,8</b>	Not useful	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩	Useful
Skills of the facilitators were ....	<b>9,6</b>	Poor	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩	Excellent
Interaction among participants was...	<b>9,7</b>	Poor	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩	Excellent



Was the transnational online meeting beneficial?	<b>7,3</b>	Not beneficial	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩	Beneficial
Was the workshop useful?	<b>9,6</b>	Not useful	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩	Useful

**Comments :**

*Please write what did you like the most and what you liked the least and make a final comment or statement.  
Please state in which way were your expectations met (if relevant).*

**Strong points:**

- Practical activities
- Group work and discussion tasks
- The information was useful and detailed
- Useful, detailed, concrete information
- The activities were useful
- The content was very well structured
- The practical session helped perceive the theory
- Useful for my future work with EU projects
- Extremely professional presentations which will be useful in the future

**Weak points:**

- Not enough time for so much information
-